Barry University Institutional Repository

Theses and Dissertations

2008

Perceptions of Rape in Acquaintance, Marital, and Stranger Situations and the Impact of Gender

Shawna A. Wright

This work is brought to you for free and open access by the Theses and Dissertations at Barry University Institutional Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in open access Theses by an authorized administrator of Institutional Repository.

BARRY UNIVERSITY

PERCEPTIONS OF RAPE IN ACQUAINTANCE, MARITAL, AND STRANGER SITUATIONS AND THE IMPACT OF GENDER

By

Shawna A. Wright

A THESIS

Submitted to the Faculty of Barry University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

Miami Shores, Florida

May 5th, 2008

BARRY UNIVERSITY

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

Perceptions of Rape in Acquaintance, Marital, and Stranger Situations and the Impact of Gender

By

Shawna A. Wright

Approved:

Guillermo Wated, Ph.D. Assistant Professor of Psychology Karen Callaghan, Ph.D. Dean College of Arts and Sciences

Lenore Szuchman, Ph.D. Professor of Psychology Date

Abstract

It has been proposed that an observer's perception of what constitutes rape can vary as a function of gender, level of rape-myth acceptance, and the nature of the victimperpetrator relationship prior to the assault. Participants read three rape scenarios involving a marital, stranger and an acquaintance rape situation and rated the responsibility of the rape for the victim and assailant, the psychological impact on the victim, and the credibility of the victim's police report. Results showed that men and women seem to hold similar levels of rape myth acceptance. However, participants responded to the scenarios according to the perceived nature of the victim-perpetrator relationship. The implications of how societal views impact victims and perpetrators of rape situations are discussed. Perceptions of Rape in Acquaintance, Marital, and Stranger Situations and the Impact of Gender

Rape is a pervasive problem on college campuses. Community studies have found the prevalence of sexual assault among women in the general population ranged from 5% to 22% (Kilpatrick Best, Veronen, Amick, Villeponteaux, & Ruff, 1985; Russell, 1984). Rates of sexual victimization among college women when compared to women in the general population were found to be three times higher, with the preponderance of the crimes being committed by someone known to the victim (Koss, 1988; Koss, Gidycz, & Wisniewski, 1987). Moreover, Koss et al. (1987) also found that 1 in 12 college men acknowledged committing an act that meets the legal definition of rape or attempted rape during their high school or college years. Rapaport and Burkhart (1984) found that 15% of college men admitted forcing a woman to have intercourse and 12% acknowledged physically restraining a woman to gain sexual advantage. These prevalence rates are further supported by Koss (1998) who surveyed 6,159 women from universities across the U.S. and found that 15.4% indicated experiencing some form of rape.

However, these figures may not adequately represent the true number of rape occurrences. Several studies support the assertion that the stigma associated with rape, for example, the shame experienced by victims, may contribute to victims attributing blame to themselves rather than the perpetrator. This effect may lower the rates of report (Frese, Moya, & Megia, 2004; Koss, 1993). The victim may decide against reporting victimization because of such shame. Moreover, neglecting to report victimization may be further influenced by public perceptions of rape, which are mediated by stereotypes or rape myths (Jimenez & Abreu, 2003).

Rape Myth Acceptance

Definition

Stereotypes and myths regarding rape are conceptualized as widely held prejudicial attitudes or false beliefs about rape. Rape myth acceptance is defined as the number of stereotypical ideas one holds pertaining to rape, for example, rape is not harmful, women accuse men of rape out of retaliation, women enjoy rape, women deserve rape by engaging in risky behavior or wearing provocative clothing, only bad women get raped (Burt, 1980; Frese et al., 2004; Jimenez & Abreu, 2003; Sapp, Farrell, Johnson, & Hitchcock, 1999). Research has demonstrated that the level of rape myth acceptance can predict the likelihood that an individual will ascribe blame to the victim (Frese et al., 2004; Krahe, 1988).

Lonsway and Fitgerald (1994) claimed that despite rape myths being generally false, such beliefs are widely held and serve to deny and justify male sexual aggression against women. Support for society's possible justification of male sexual aggression is demonstrated by Johnson, Kuck, and Schander (1997), who found that one-third of participants reported that under certain situations, men cannot control their sexual urges. Furthermore, 43.9% of participants agreed that depending on the situation, all men are capable of rape.

Several studies suggest that acceptance of stereotypes or myths of rape victims and perpetrators may be associated with attitudes pertaining to gender role stereotyping (Frese et al., 2004), adversarial sexual beliefs, and tolerance of interpersonal violence (Burt, 1980). Gender role stereotyping is conceptualized as traditional societal beliefs regarding positions or roles of males and females within society. Therefore, the appropriateness of one's sexual acts, number of sexual partners, job, or familial role varies as a function of gender. Individuals who hold extreme traditional gender roles are more likely to hold a general acceptance of rape myth and minimized the severity of rape (Ben-David & Schneider, 2005). This assertion that acceptance of rape myths is mediated by one's adherence to traditional gender role is supported by several studies (Burt, 1980; Check & Malamuth, 1983; Johnson et al., 1997; Lonsway & Fitzgerald, 1983).

Furthermore, adversarial sexual beliefs suggest that sexual relationships are exploitative and each member seeks to deceive the other individual. Therefore, distrust of the opposite sex is appropriate. Tolerance of interpersonal violence implies that force and coercion are reasonable methods to establish compliance in sexual relationships. The appropriateness of the amount or level of force varies depending on type of sexual or intimate relationship. In certain situations, such as an established intimate relationship or marriage, it may not be necessary for men to control sexual drive (Frese et al., 2004). Burt (1980) found that gender role stereotyping, adversarial sexual beliefs, and tolerance of interpersonal violence predicted rape myth acceptance, such that the higher the attitudes, the more likely acceptance of rape myths.

Level of Rape Myth Acceptance and the Consequence for Victims

Individuals with higher levels of rape myth acceptance are more likely to attribute blame to the victim and justify the actions of the perpetrator. Moreover, these individuals are less likely to interpret forced intercourse as rape (Jimenez & Abreu, 2003). This point is further illustrated by Frese et al. (2004), who found that compared to those with lower levels, participants with higher levels of rape myth acceptance were more likely to attribute blame to the victim, viewed the trauma as less severe, and were less likely to recommend filing a police report. The use of these stereotypes is evidence that rape myths further serve to justify male sexual aggression against women (Jimenez & Abreu, 2003).

Attributing blame to the victim for the sexual assault can produce devastating effects. She may feel the need to accept responsibility and decide against reporting the crime. Moreover, when reports are filed, allegations are sometimes met with skepticism from law enforcement officials which further hinders investigations and may result in lighter punishment or no punishment at all (Frese et al., 2004). In addition, guilt that arises from trauma associated with the rape can severely impact the victim's mental health (e.g., low self-esteem, shame, suicidal ideation) and can lead to the development of various psychological problems, for example PTSD, anxiety, and depression (Caron & Carter, 1997; Ewoldt, Monson, & Langinrichsen-Rollings, 2000; Frese et al., 2004; Jimenez & Abreu, 2003).

Rape Situations

Depending upon who perpetrates the rape, public opinion of what constitutes rape will vary. An observer's perception of what constitutes rape can vary as a function of traditional gender roles, stereotypes, myths, or gender. Traditional gender roles (e.g., wives are expected to satisfy their husbands needs) often influence perceptions regarding rape. Individuals in an established intimate relationship (e.g., marriage) have had prior sexual contact, and that fact can result in a belief that rape cannot occur. Moreover, stereotypes and myths pertaining to the "typical rape victim" can transform responsibility from the assailant to the victim. Observers who rely on myths (e.g., women who wear provocative clothing deserve rape) are more likely than individuals who do not rely on such myths to attribute blame to the victim for the assault and seek to justify the actions of the perpetrator (Frese et al., 2004).

Acquaintance Rape

Acquaintance or date rape is an increasing problem in the U.S, especially on college campuses. According to Gidycz et al. (2001), the reported occurrence of acquaintance rape on college campuses is 21%. Rape committed by an assailant known to the victim may be perceived as less traumatic (Bell, Kuriloff, Lottes, 1994; Ben-David & Schneider, 2005) and is less likely to be seen as rape by observers and the victim when compared to rape committed by a stranger (Koss et al., 1988).

Perceptions of acquaintance rape. Frese et al. (2004) also found that participants viewed victim responsibility differently depending on the victim-perpetrator relationship. The likelihood of attributing blame to the victim for the assault increases when the perpetrator is an acquaintance or date (Bell et al., 1994; Check & Malamuth, 1983). Participants rated victim responsibility the highest and assailant responsibility the lowest in acquaintance rape situations when compared to marital and stranger rape situations. Participants regarded assailant responsibility the highest in stranger rape situations when compared to marital and acquaintance rape situations. As a result, participants more often recommended reporting rape to authorities when committed by a stranger, as opposed to rape by an acquaintance (Frese et al., 2004).

Prevention programs and implications for reducing acquaintance rape. Pinzone-Glover, Gidycz, and Jacobs (1998) found that participants who were involved in an acquaintance rape prevention program differed from those in the control group in terms of their empathy and attitudes toward rape victims. Specifically, participants in the

experimental group who were exposed to the rape-specific information were more empathic toward the victim than those in the comparison group. Similarly, men in the acquaintance rape prevention group expressed less traditional attitudes compared to men in the control group. Previous research has suggested that more traditional attitudes are indicative of an increased acceptance of forcible date rape (Fischer, 1986a), acceptance of violence toward women (Check & Malamuth, 1983), victim blaming, and a decreased ability to appropriately define rape (Shotland & Goodstein, 1983).

Moreover, these findings are supported by previous research and have important implications for reducing the rates of victimization. Deitz and Byrnes (1981) found that participants who expressed higher levels of empathy toward rape victims also expressed more positive feelings toward the victim and rated the psychological impact of the trauma as greater. Likewise, participants attributed more responsibility for the rape to the assailant and recommended longer prison sentences. Moreover, Deitz et al. (1982) suggested that the rape empathy in men is negatively correlated with a desire to rape. Therefore, increasing men's empathy for rape victims may in turn reduce the sexually aggressive behavior and rape occurrences.

Marital Rape

Definition. The estimated rate of rape within marriages is 6% to 14% (Allison & Wrightsman, 1993; Finkelhor & Yllo, 1985; Russell, 1990). For years, marital rape was viewed as legally impossible due to the marital contract in which consent of sexual relations is assumed (Ewoldt et al., 2000). Legal recourse against husbands who rape their wives is not recognized in all states and when charges are brought against husbands, fewer than 50% are successfully convicted (Whatley, 2005).

Perception of marital rape. Research has demonstrated that attributions regarding rape can differ as a function of victim-perpetrator relationship prior to the assault. Previous voluntarily physical contact with the assailant affects the level of perceived ambiguity. For example, marital rape can be perceived as ambiguous because of previous sexual contact and traditional beliefs regarding marriage (Frese et al., 2004). Therefore, one could argue that both marital rape and acquaintance rape situations are ambiguous when compared to stranger rape. This ambiguity can often impact an observer's view of the rape, causing him or her to question whether a crime truly occurred.

Ewoldt et al. (2000) found that participants' endorsement of rape myth acceptance was mediated by the perceived marital status. Participants expressed higher levels of rape myth acceptance when the perceived rape occurred in an intact marriage. Likewise, lower levels of rape myth acceptance was endorsed when the relationship was perceived as dissolving (e.g., legally separated, divorced, moved out) than when the relationship was intact. Furthermore, participants endorsed more rape-supportive attributions in dissolving rape situations when compared to stranger rape situations.

Societal views of marital rape as less traumatic and offensive when compared to rape committed by a stranger have been demonstrated in several studies (Bell et al., 1994; Ewoldt et al., 2000; Frese et al., 2004; Monson, Byrd, & Langhinrichsen-Rohling, 1996). Ben-David and Schneider (2005) along with Frese et al. (2004) found that participants perceived less psychological damage, lower level of violence, and lower level of victim rights violation for marital rape when compared to stranger rape. Moreover, marital rape was perceived as a less obvious form of rape. Whatley (2005) found that participants' ratings of victim responsibility in marital rape situation varied as a function of the way the victim was dressed. Men and women believed the victim was partially responsible for the rape. However, participants were more likely to attribute blame to the victim when she was dressed in a seductive manner than when she dressed in a conservative manner. Likewise, participants viewed the victim who was dressed in a seductive manner as more deserving of the assault than the victim dressed in a conservative manner. Moreover, the attitudes of participants also impacted their attribution of responsibility and deservingness. Compared to participants who held egalitarian attitudes toward marriage, participants who held traditional attitudes toward marriage attributed greater responsibility to the victim and utilized the justification that the victim asked for it (Whatley, 2005).

Severity. Several studies have shown that regardless of the offender, trauma induced by rape has devastating effects (Koss, 1993; Shapiro & Schwarz, 1997). Victims of marital rape report nightmares, sexual dysfunctions, and an inability to trust (Finkelhor, Gelles, Hotaling, & Straus, 1983; Westwell, 1998). Nevertheless, victim trauma is regarded as higher when the assault was committed by a stranger than when committed by a husband or someone known by the victim (Frese et al., 2004). *Stranger Rape*

Research has shown that society tends to have harsh regard for perpetrators who commit stranger rape. Participants regarded assailant responsibility the highest in stranger rape situations when compared to marital and acquaintance rape situations (Frese et al., 2004). In addition, several studies have demonstrated that participants hold rape committed by a stranger as more traumatic, think that it should be reported to the authorities, and believe that the victim is not likely to be blamed for the incident when compared to marital and acquaintance rape (Bell et al., 1994; Ewoldt et al., 2000; Frese et al., 2004; Monson et al., 1996).

Monson et al. (1996) demonstrated that an increase in violation of victims' rights is perceived in stranger rape when compared to marital rape. In addition, acquaintance rapists received reduced sentences when compared to stranger rapists (McCormick, Maric, Seto, & Barbaree, 1998). Alternatively, characteristics of the observer (e.g., sex) affect attitudinal factors. For example, studies have shown that men usually hold more accepting attitudes toward rape than women (Ewoldt et al., 2000; Frese et al., 2004).

The Role of Substances

The presence of alcohol or other substances may alter how observers view an alleged sexual assault and the victim responsibility. Generally, compared to sober victims, intoxicated victims of sexual assault are seen as less credible and more deserving of the consequences associated with their substance use (Hammock & Richardson, 1997). This effect is supported by Wenger and Bornstein (2006) who found that alcohol consumption was negatively correlated with victim's credibility. Participants significantly viewed sober victims of sexual assault as more credible than intoxicated victims. In addition, guilty verdicts were more likely to occur when the victim was sober as opposed to when the victim was intoxicated. Intoxicated victims may be held accountable for their actions when compared to sober victims because they voluntarily placed themselves in a dangerous situation.

According to Hammock and Richardson (1997), the interaction between relationship closeness and substance use may impact the perception of victim responsibility for sexual assault. If an intoxicated victim and the perpetrator do not have a close relationship, she is viewed as more culpable for the assault when compared to a sober victim. The intoxicated victim is blamed for the assault, because she placed herself in a dangerous situation by voluntarily consuming substances. By contrast, if a close relationship (e.g., dating) exists between the victim and perpetrator, then the perpetrator is seen as responsible for the assault. However, this assertion was not supported by others (Wenger & Bornstein, 2006).

Gender Differences

Several studies support the assertion that rape myth acceptance and perceptions of rape scenarios vary as a function of gender. For example, compared to women, men were more likely to minimize the severity of rape. Women are more likely than men to express higher levels of empathy toward rape victims (Bell et al., 1994; Burt, 1980; Caron & Carter, 1997; Check & Malamuth, 1983; Johnson et al., 1997; Lonsway & Fitzgerald, 1994; Monson et al., 1996; Stromo, Lang, & Stritzke, 1997; Varelas & Foley, 1998). However, others have found that gender is not a factor (Frese et al., 2004; Johnson & Russ, 1989; Krahe 1988; Pinzone-Glover et al., 1998; Whatley, 2005). Given such discrepancies, further research should be conducted in an attempt to resolve such inconsistency.

Several studies suggest that men were more likely than women to minimize the severity of rape (Ben-David & Schneider, 2005), endorse rape-tolerant attitudes, hold higher rape myth acceptance, and attribute blame to the victim instead of the assailant (Caron & Carter, 1997; Ewoldt et al., 2000). Jimenez and Abreu (2003) found that women expressed lower levels of rape myth acceptance, higher levels of empathy, and

attributed more credibility toward the rape victim. According to Bell et al. (1994), women are likely to take rape more seriously than men. Supporting this assertion, Ewoldt et al. (2000) found that women tended to hold lower levels of rape-supportive beliefs than men and were less likely to attribute blame to the victim.

Caron and Carter (1997) found that gender, the observer's attitude, and acceptance of violence was indicative of the participant's stance on rape, rape victims, and rapists. First, women were less accepting of rape and the rapist and were more likely to perceive the victim as innocent when compared to men. Second, participants who held positive attitudes toward sexuality were more likely to express an overall intolerance of rape and view the women as innocent victims of rape than were participants who held negative attitudes toward sexuality. Last, acceptance of violence against women was indicative of attribution of blame to rape victims.

Intervention Programs

Pinzone-Glover et al. (1998) demonstrated that in general, women were more accurate in their definitions of rape. After men in the experimental group completed an intervention, they were more accurate in their definitions of rape when compared to the men in the control group. However, their ability to accurately define a situation as rape was influenced by the amount of alcohol consumed, prior intimacy, and resistance of the woman. For example, men were less likely to accurately define the situation as rape than were women when alcohol and prior intimacy increased and female resistance decreased.

Ethnic and Cultural Factors

African American Perceptions

An estimated 1 in 4 African American women will become victims of rape in their lifetime (White, 1995). Research has indicated that public opinion regarding the victim or perpetrator's race can also influence reactions to rape. In particular, one rape myth of the typical rape victim (White woman) and the typical rapist (Black man) remains prevalent in society (Vareles & Foley, 1998). Wyatt (1992) suggested that the "credibility of Black women as rape victims has never been established as firmly as it has for White women" (p. 86). Historically, the rape of a slave woman at the hands of her slave owner was considered normal and, as a result, overlooked. However, during that time, any type of sexual relations between a Black man and a White woman was punishable by death (Vareles & Foley, 1998).

Rape myths affect the legal system in several ways. Foley, Evancic, Karnik, King, and Parks (1995) found that undergraduates were more likely to endorse rape being reported to authorities and attribute responsibility to the assailant when the victim was Caucasian as opposed to African American. Likewise, participants viewed the date rape situation as more acceptable when the victim was African American than when the victim was Caucasian. Moreover, when a Caucasian woman alleges that she was raped by an African American man, she is more likely to be believed than if she was raped by a White assailant. Surprisingly, African American women who report the same crime are less likely to be believed, regardless of the assailant's race (Varelas & Foley, 1998). Research also suggests that in order for the public to perceive that rape of an African American woman occurred, there must be evidence of resistance that demonstrates an attempt to fight off the assailant (Estrich, 1987). Therefore, rape of an African American woman may be perceived as fictitious in a court of law, especially if a White assailant is accused of committing the crime (Varelas & Foley, 1998).

Asian Perceptions

Asian college students were more likely to attribute partial blame to the victim, hold rape myths, and express negative attitudes toward rape victims than were Caucasian students (Mori, Bernat, Gleen, Selle, & Zarate, 1995). Kennedy and Gorzalke (2002) found a significant difference between Asian participants and non-Asian participants. Asian participants endorsed greater acceptance of rape myths and were more tolerant of sexually harassing behavior than were non-Asian participants. Asian men were more likely to endorse rape tolerant behaviors than were Asian women.

Caucasian Perceptions

Varelas and Foley (1998) found several differences between White and Black participants. White participants were more likely than Black participants to define the incident as a rape, recommend that the incident be reported to the authorities, attribute more responsibility to the perpetrator, and attribute less responsibility to the victim. Furthermore, compared to White participants, Black participants were more likely to report that the victim's behavior was a contributing factor to the rape.

Despite the differences between White and Black participants, limited support was provided for participants attributing responsibility to the perpetrator as a function of race. Varelas and Foley (1998) found that White participants ascribed less responsibility to the White victims who was raped by a Black perpetrator than to a Black woman raped by a Black perpetrator. Conversely, Black participants ascribed the most responsibility to the Black victim raped by a White man compared to White participants. Likewise, Black participants were more likely to believe that the victim was responsible for the rape and presume her actions contributed to the rape when the rapist was portrayed as White. *Latino Perceptions*

Jimenez and Abreu (2003) found differences between Caucasian and Latino participants. Caucasian women had a higher propensity to describe positive attitudes toward rape victims (e.g., sympathy) and were less likely to believe in rape myths when compared to their Latino counterparts. Conversely, higher levels of sympathy only applied when the rape victim was Caucasian. Furthermore, Latina women were more likely than their Caucasian counterparts to endorse rape myth acceptance. Fischer (1987) found that Hispanic college men were more likely than their Caucasian college counterparts to hold rape myths and were less likely to attribute blame to the perpetrator.

Legal Implications

Research has documented that the institutionalization of rape myths in the law influences perceived victimization, reports of victimizations, investigation of rape allegations, and verdicts in rape trials (Burt, 1980; Koss, 1993). Societal tolerance of rape reduces the likelihood of reporting the crime. Some suggest that this tolerance of rape among police officers and judges could increase the likelihood that they will meet rape allegations with suspicion and be disinclined to investigate such assaults further (Frese et al., 2004). Ward (1995) studied law enforcement official, lawyers, doctors, and counselors. Twenty-four percent of police officers, 11% of lawyers, 6% of doctors, and 3% of rape counselors believed that little or no damage occurs to a victim when she is sexually experienced prior to a rape.

Frese et al. (2004) found that the likelihood of reporting rape to authorities is influenced by the interaction between rape myth acceptance and situational factors (e.g., intoxication). Moreover, the type of rape (e.g., acquaintance rape, stranger rape, marital rape) influenced the likelihood that participants would recommend reporting the crime to the police, such that rapes committed by an assailant known to the victim are less likely to be reported than rape committed by a complete stranger (Koss, 1993).

Theoretical Perspectives

Cognitive Model

The research related to social cognition theory implies that the likelihood of stored knowledge being activated is based on accessibility of the stored knowledge and the uniformity between the stored knowledge and the presenting stimulus. Therefore, stored beliefs may be overlooked if an individual regards the knowledge as extraneous to the presenting stimulus. Despite one's level of rape myth acceptance, stereotypic views can be endorsed differently, depending on certain characteristics of the assault (Frese et al., 2004).

Sex Role Socialization Theory

Sex role socialization places great emphasis on the traditional gender roles each individual acquires. Based on societal influence, traditional gender roles aid men and women in forming expectations regarding acceptable and appropriate behaviors during sexual contact. However, progression of traditional sex role socialization aids in the development of rape myth acceptance. This is further illustrated by marital rape, in that traditional gender roles influence one's perspective regarding what is acceptable sexual behavior for men and women. For example, individuals who hold extreme traditional sex role perspectives may view marital rape as fictitious or erroneous and acquaintance rape as extreme but appropriate (Ewoldt et al., 2000).

Attitudes toward rape victims (e.g., attributing blame to the victim, justifying the actions of the perpetrator) can be held by victims, assailants, and observers. These attitudes are often mediated by traditional gender-role stereotypes. Factors associated with the assault and characteristics of the observer impact attitudes toward rape victims and perpetrators. Moreover, factors of the assault, such as victim resistance and the victim-perpetrator relationship also impact the perception of rape (Frese et al., 2004).

Rationale for the Present Study

Research indicates that an observer's perception of rape could be influenced by rape myth acceptance and the nature of victim-perpetrator relationship prior to the assault. Higher levels of rape myth acceptance have several implications for rape victims. Individuals who hold higher levels of rape myth acceptance are more likely to attribute the responsibility of rape to the victim instead of the perpetrator. Frese et al. (2004) found that the level of rape myth acceptance was positively correlated with the attribution of victim blame and negatively correlated with the perception of trauma severity and recommendation to file a police report. Moreover, research has demonstrated that the perception of rape varies as a function of the nature of victim-perpetrator relationship prior to the assault (Bell et al., 1994; Frese et al., 2004). Rape committed by someone known to the victim, compared to someone unknown to the victim, is considered as less severe and a lesser violation of the victims' rights. Similarly, victim responsibility is the highest in acquaintance rape situations and the lowest in stranger rape encounters.

The impact of gender has also been proposed to be an important influence on rape perception. Research has demonstrated that men are more likely than women to minimize the severity of rape (Ben-David & Schneider, 2005) and hold higher levels of rapesupportive beliefs (Ewoldt et al., 2000). In addition, men are more likely than women to hold higher rape myth acceptance and attribute blame to the victim instead of the assailant (Caron & Carter, 1997; Ewoldt et al., 2000; Jimenez & Abreu, 2003). Women have been found to be more likely than men to express higher levels of empathy toward rape victims (Bell et al., 1994; Burt, 1980; Caron & Carter, 1997; Check & Malamuth, 1983). However, others have found that gender does not influence an observer's perception of rape (Frese et al., 2004; Johnson & Russ, 1989).

Given the conflicting findings, the present study sought to further clarify the relationship between level of rape myth acceptance, gender, and the nature of the victimperpetrator relationship prior to the assault in rape situations. The aim of the proposed study was to investigate the relationship between the effects of gender on the perception of rape in acquaintance, marital and stranger rape situations.

Method

Participants

Participants were 133 students from colleges and universities throughout the United States, ranging in age from 18 to 59 (M = 30.94). Participants completed an online survey posted on the following websites:

www.socialpsychology.org,http://psych.hanover.edu/research/exponnet.html, www.labunited.com, and http://genpsylab-wexlist.unizh.ch/. The sample consisted of 81 women (60.4%) and 8 men (6%), and 45 (33.6%) participants did not report their gender. In terms of ethnicity, 41 (30.6%) were White, 32 (23.9%) were Black, 7 (5.2%) were European, 4 (3%) were Hispanic, 2 (1.5%) were Native American, 3 (2.2%) identified as other, and 45 (33.6%) did not report their ethnicity. The sample contained 78 (58.2%) heterosexual individuals, 6 (4.5%) bisexual individuals, 3 (2.2%) lesbian/homosexual individuals, 2 (1.5%) other individuals, and 45 (33.6%) did not report their sexual identity. Seven non-American participants were excluded from the data analysis as the study sought to investigate the perceptions of rape in the United States. Thus, results report data from 126 participants.

Measures

Rape scenarios. Three short vignettes described different situations involving rape: acquaintance rape, marital rape, stranger rape (Appendices A, B, and C). The marital rape vignette developed by Ewoldt et al. (2000) was slightly modified for the current study. The acquaintance and stranger rape situations were created specifically for this study. Each participant read all rape situations. The victim was described as actively and persistently resisting advances of the perpetrator. Despite the victim's efforts, the assailant eventually forced her to have intercourse.

Attribution of responsibility. The level of attribution regarding responsibility was assessed with the following two questions: "How much responsibility does the victim have for what happened?", and "How much responsibility does the assailant have for what happened?" Each question was answered on a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (*none*) to 5 (*very high*).

Psychological trauma. The victim's level of psychological trauma was assessed with the participant's answer to this question: "How much psychological trauma did the victim experience?" The question was answered on a 5 point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (*none*) to 5 (*a lot*).

Implications for reporting the assault. The recommendation to file a report with authorities was assessed with participants' answers to the following question: "Would you recommend that the victim report the crime to authorities?" The following two options were provided to answer the question: 1 (*yes*) and 2 (*no*). The credibility of the rape victim was assessed with the following question: "If the victim decided to report the encounter to the police, how much credibility do you think she would have?" The question was answered on a 5 point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (*none*) to 5 (*a lot*).

Rape Myth Acceptance Scale (RMAS; Burt, 1980). The RMAS consisted of 19 items that assessed prevalent prejudicial myths and stereotypes regarding rape, victims, and rapists (See Appendix D). Participants rated their level of agreement with statements such as ,"When a woman gets drunk at a party and has intercourse with someone she's just met, she should be considered 'fair game' to others who want to have sex with her too, regardless if she wants to or not." Ratings were on a 5-point Likert-type rating scale ranging from 1 (*strongly agree*) to 5 (*strongly disagree*). However, some items were anchored on a 5-point Likert scale that ranged from 1 (*almost all*) to 5 (*almost none*) or 1 (*always*) to 5 (*never*). Higher scores were indicative of greater rape myth acceptance. Both Burt (1980) and Jimenez and Abreu (2003) reported an internal consistency of .88 for the scale.

The Rape Empathy Scale (RES; Deitz, Blackwell, Daley, & Bentley, 1982). The RES contained 19 items that assessed participants' attributions of empathy and responsibility toward rape victims and rapists (See Appendix E). An example of an item is as follows: "A man who forces a woman to engage in sexual intercourse against her will is not justifiable under any circumstances." Ratings were on a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 5 (*strongly agree*). Higher scores indicated greater empathy for and less responsibility ascribed to the rape victim. Deitz et al. (1982) reported a coefficient alpha of .84 for the scale. Jimenez and Abreu (2003) reported a coefficient alpha of .82.

Attitudes Toward Rape Victims Scale (ARVS; Ward, 1988). The ARVS (See Appendix F) contained 25 items that measured positive as well as adverse attitudes toward rape, specifically focusing on attributions toward rape victims (e.g., blame, credibility, deservingness, denigration, trivialization). Participants rated each item (e.g., "A raped woman is a less desirable woman") on a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 5 (*strongly agree*). Higher scores indicated unfavorable attitudes toward rape victims. Jimenez and Abreu (2003) reported a coefficient alpha of .85 for the scale.

Procedure

Participants read a cover letter describing the study and accepted the terms prior to beginning the study (See Appendix G). Participants read one of the three rape scenarios and answered questions regarding how much responsibility can be attributed to the victim and to the assailant. The participants then read a second and third vignette and answered the same questions. The presentation of the rape vignettes and the questions about each rape vignette was counterbalanced. Then, participants completed the RMAS, RES, and ARVS. However, the presentation of the scales varied as some participants were asked to complete the scales prior to reading the vignettes and others completed the scales subsequent to the presentation of the vignettes. Last, participants entered demographic information (e.g., gender, age, ethnicity, year in college, relationship status). See appendix H for the demographic questionnaire.

Hypotheses and Analyses

The following hypotheses were tested through comparison of group means (independent-samples *t* tests and/or repeated measures ANOVA were used to perform the analyses as appropriate):

Hypothesis 1 (Gender):

- A) Men would hold higher rape myth acceptance than women as measured by their scores on RMAS. Specifically, men would have higher scores on RMAS when compared to women.
- B) Women would endorse higher levels of empathy and attribute more credibility toward the rape victim than would men as measured by RES.
 Specifically, women would have higher scores on RES when compared to men.
- C) Men would be more likely to attribute blame to the victim instead of the assailant when compared to women as measured by scores on ARVS.
 Specifically, men would have higher scores on ARVS when compared to women.

Hypothesis 2 (Attribution of responsibility in rape situations):

- A) Participants would rate victim responsibility the highest in acquaintance rape situation and the lowest in stranger rape situation as measured by the answer to the question "How much responsibility does the victim have for what happened?" Specifically, the mean for victim responsibility would be highest in the acquaintance rape situation and the lowest in the stranger rape situation when compared to the marital rape situation.
- B) Participants would rate assailant responsibility the highest in stranger rape situation and the lowest in the acquaintance rape situation as measured by the answer to the question "How much responsibility does the perpetrator have for what happened?" Specifically, the mean for assailant responsibility would be the highest in the stranger rape situation and the lowest in the acquaintance rape situation when compared to the marital rape situation.

Hypothesis 3 (Perceived psychological trauma within rape situations):

Participants would rate psychological trauma the highest in the stranger rape situation and the lowest in the marital rape situation as measured by the answer to the question "How much psychological trauma did the victim experience?" Specifically, the mean for psychological trauma would be highest in the stranger rape situation and the lowest in the marital rape situation when compared to the acquaintance rape situation.

Hypothesis 4 (Implications for filing a report):

A) The mean for recommending that the victim file a report with the authorities would be the highest in the stranger rape situation when

compared to acquaintance and marital rape situations. This would be assessed by the answer to the question "Would you recommend that the victim report the crime to authorities?"

B) Participants would rate the victim's credibility the highest in the stranger rape situation as measured by the answer to the question "If the victim decided to report the encounter to the police, how much credibility do you think she would have?" Specifically, the mean for victim credibility would be higher in the stranger rape situation when compared to the acquaintance and marital rape situations.

Results

Gender Comparisons

Independent-samples *t* test were conducted to evaluate gender differences in terms of rape myth acceptance (RMA), victim empathy (RES), and attitudes toward rape victims (ARVS). None of the comparisons were found to be statistically significant. The overall mean for RMA did not significantly differ as a function of gender, t(87) = .15, p = .17 (M = 1.71, SD = .25 for men; M = 1.73, SD = .44 for women). There were no significant differences in terms of RES, t(87) = .15, p = .70 (M = 4.32, SD = .15 for men; M = 4.35, SD = .46 for women). The overall mean for ARVS did not differ as a function of gender, t(87)=.71, p = .79 (M = .13, SD = .37 for men; M = .05, SD = .42 for women).

Attribution of Responsibility in Rape Situations

One-way within-subjects ANOVAs were conducted to compare the rape scenarios with the dependent variables being perceived responsibility of the victim, perceived responsibility of the assailant, and perceived psychological trauma of the victim.

The results indicated a significant rape scenario effect for perceived responsibility of the victim, Wilks's $\Lambda = .57$, F(2, 101) = 37.55, p < .001, multivariate $\eta^2 = .43$. Followup paired-samples *t* tests were conducted to determine which rape situations significantly differed in terms of victim responsibility. The results indicated that the mean for acquaintance rape situation (M = 2.17, SD = 1.06) was significantly higher than the mean for stranger rape situation (M = 1.28, SD = .71), t(102) = 7.64, p < .001. The mean for marital rape situation (M = 1.28, SD = .71), t(102) = 7.90, p < .001. The mean for stranger rape situation (M = 2.17, SD = 1.09) was significantly higher than the mean for acquaintance rape situation (M = 2.17, SD = 1.09), was not significantly different from the mean for marital rape situation (M = 2.17, SD = 1.06) was not significantly different from the mean for marital rape situation (M = 2.17, SD = 1.06) was not significantly different from the

In terms of perceived responsibility of the assailant, the results for the ANOVA also indicated a significant rape scenario effect, Wilks's $\Lambda = .77$, F(2,101) = 14.83, p < .001, multivariate $\eta^2 = .23$. Follow-up paired-samples *t* test were conducted to determine which rape situations significantly differed in terms of assailant responsibility. Each rape situation was significantly different from the other. The results indicated that the mean for acquaintance rape situation (M = 4.73, SD = .56) was significantly higher than the mean for marital rape situation (M = 4.54, SD = .70), t(104) = 2.64, p < .001. The mean for marital rape situation (M = 4.54, SD = .70) was significantly lower than the mean for stranger rape situation (M = 4.73, SD = .47), t(102) = 4.88, p < .001. The mean for acquaintance rape situation (M = 4.73, SD = .56) was significantly lower than the mean for stranger rape situation (M = 4.91, SD = .47), t(102) = 3.90, p < .001.

Perceived Psychological Trauma in Rape Situations

A one-way within-subjects ANOVA was conducted comparing the rape scenarios with the dependent variable being perceived psychological trauma of the victim. The results for the ANOVA indicated a significant rape scenario effect, Wilks's $\Lambda = .40$, F(2,101) = 75.47, p < .001, multivariate $\eta^2 = .60$. Follow-up paired-samples *t* test were conducted to determine which rape situations significantly differed in terms of victim psychological trauma. Each rape situation was significantly different from the others. The results indicated that the mean for acquaintance rape situation (M = 4.46, SD = .67) was significantly higher than the mean for marital rape situation (M = 4.46, SD = .67) was significantly lower than the mean for stranger rape situation (M = 4.89, SD = .42), t(102) = 6.51, p < .001. The mean for marital rape situation (M = 3.73, SD = .97) was also significantly lower than the mean for stranger rape situation (M = 4.89, SD = .42), t(102) = 12.18, p < .001.

Implications for Filing a Report

One-way within subjects ANOVAs were conducted comparing the rape scenarios with the dependent variables being the recommendation for filing a police report by the victim and perceived credibility of the victim's police report to evaluate the implications for filling a report.

In terms of recommendation for filling a police report, the results for the ANOVA indicated a significant rape scenario effect, Wilks's $\Lambda = .52$, F(2,101) = 46.21, p < .001, multivariate $\eta^2 = .48$. Follow-up paired-samples *t* test were conducted to determine which rape situations significantly differed in terms of the recommendation for filing a police

report. The results indicated that the mean for acquaintance rape situation (M = 1.96, SD = .19) was significantly higher than the mean for marital rape situation (M = 1.51, SD = .50), t(104) = 9.18, p < .001. The mean for marital rape situation (M = 1.51, SD = .50) was significantly lower than the mean for stranger rape situation (M = 1.99, SD = .99), t(102) = 9.62, p < .001. The mean for acquaintance rape situation (M = 1.96, SD = .19) was not significantly different from the mean for stranger rape situation (M = 1.96, SD = .19) was not significantly different from the mean for stranger rape situation (M = 1.96, SD = .19) was not significantly different from the mean for stranger rape situation (M = 1.99, SD = .99), t(102) = 1.34, p = .18.

For perceived credibility of the victim's police report, the results for the ANOVA also indicated a significant rape scenario effect, Wilks's $\Lambda = .27$, F(2, 101) = 139.79, p < .001, multivariate $\eta^2 = .74$. Follow-up paired-samples *t* test were conducted to determine which rape situations significantly differed in terms of perceived victim report credibility. Each rape situation was significantly different from the others. The results indicated that the mean for acquaintance rape situation (M = 2.95, SD = .94) was significantly higher than the mean for marital rape situation (M = 2.95, SD = .94) was significantly lower than the mean for stranger rape situation (M = 4.35, SD = .94) was significantly lower than the mean for stranger rape situation (M = 2.38, SD = .98), t(102) = 13.27, p < .001. The mean for marital rape situation (M = 2.38, SD = .98) was significantly lower than the mean for stranger rape situation (M = 2.38, SD = .98) was significantly lower than the mean for marital rape situation (M = 4.35, SD = .98), t(102) = 13.27, p < .001. The mean for marital rape situation (M = 2.38, SD = .98) was significantly lower than the mean for stranger rape situation (M = 4.35, SD = .98) was significantly lower than the mean for stranger rape situation (M = 4.35, SD = .98) was significantly lower than the mean for marital rape situation (M = 2.38, SD = .98) was significantly lower than the mean for marital rape situation (M = 2.38, SD = .98) was significantly lower than the mean for marital rape situation (M = 2.38, SD = .98) was significantly lower than the mean for marital rape situation (M = 2.38, SD = .98) was significantly lower than the mean for marital rape situation (M = 4.35, SD = .98), t(102) = 16.13, p < .001.

Discussion

The results of the present study failed to support the hypothesis that men hold higher rape myth acceptance than women. Likewise, the present study failed to support the hypothesis that women endorse higher levels of empathy and attribute more credibility toward the rape victim than men. In addition, the present findings failed to support the hypothesis that men attribute more blame to the victim instead of the assailant when compared to women. Thus, the present results did not distinguish men from women in terms of their rape myth acceptance, endorsement of victim empathy and credibility, and attribution of blame. Past research has provided support for and against prevalent gender differences in terms of rape perceptions. Caron and Carter (1997) and Jimenez and Abreu (2003) suggested that men hold higher rape myth acceptance or prejudicial views regarding rape as compared to women. Similarly, Ewoldt et al. (2000) suggested that men are more likely to attribute blame to the victim as compared to women. On the other hand, there is also evidence suggesting that gender does not influence an observer's perception of rape (e.g., Frese et al., 2004; Johnson and Russ, 1989; Krahe 1988; Pinzone-Glover et al., 1998; Whatley, 2005). The present study seems to suggest that men and women hold similar views regarding rape.

As expected, participants' perception of victim responsibility varied as a function of the rape situations. The results showed that victim responsibility was the lowest in a stranger rape situation when compared to marital and acquaintance rape situations. Therefore, participants perceived that the victim raped by a stranger was less responsible than the victim raped by an acquaintance or husband. This finding suggests that in today's society, rape committed by a stranger is regarded more harshly than any other rape situation. On the other hand, participants rated victim responsibility the highest in marital rape situation when compared to acquaintance and stranger rape situations. This finding is inconsistent with Frese et al. (2004) who found that victim responsibility was highest in acquaintance rape situation. One explanation for our finding is society's perception of marital rape as being legally impossible since the marital contract assumes consent of sexual relations. Society's tolerance of marital rape is further demonstrated by the lack of legal recourse against husbands who rape their wives in several states. For instance, fewer than 50% of husbands who are accused of raping their wives are successfully convicted (Whatley, 2005).

Also as expected, participants' perception of assailant responsibility varied as a function of the rape situations. Assailant responsibility was the highest in stranger rape situation when compared to marital and acquaintance rape situations. Therefore, the assailant who raped a stranger was perceived as being more responsible than an assailant who raped his friend or wife. However, participants rated assailant responsibility the lowest in the marital rape situation when compared to the stranger and acquaintance rape situations. Therefore, the husband was perceived as less responsible when compared to a stranger or friend who committed rape. This finding could also be explained by the ambiguity often associated with marital rape. Marital rape can be perceived as ambiguous because of previous sexual contact and traditional beliefs regarding marriage. This ambiguity can often impact an observer's view of the rape, causing him or her to question whether or not a crime truly occurred (Frese et al., 2004).

As predicted, participants' perception of victim psychological trauma varied as a function of the rape situations. Specifically, the hypothesis that participants would rate psychological trauma the highest in the stranger rape situation and the lowest in the marital rape situation was supported. Results showed that a victim raped by a stranger was perceived as more psychologically traumatized than a victim raped by a friend or husband. Similarly, a wife raped by her husband was viewed as less traumatized than a victim raped by a stranger or friend. These findings are consistent with previous research

that suggests societal views of marital rape as less traumatic and offensive when compared to rape committed by a stranger (Bell et al., 1994; Ewoldt et al., 2000; Frese et al., 2004; Monson, Byrd, & Langhinrichsen-Rohling, 1996). Moreover, marital rape is considered as less obvious form of rape with lower levels of victim rights violation (Ben-David & Schneider, 2005).

Results also supported the hypothesis that participants were more likely to recommend that the victim file a police report in the stranger rape situation when compared to marital rape situations. Also as predicted, participants rated the credibility of the victim's police report the highest in the stranger rape situation as compared to acquaintance and marital rape situations. This finding suggests that society has less tolerance for strangers who commit rape and more tolerance for husbands who rape their wives. Since victim trauma was rated highest in the stranger rape situation, it is not surprising that participants were more likely to recommend that the crime be reported and viewed the victim's police report as more creditable in the same situation. This finding is consistent with previous research which found that rape committed by a stranger is perceived as more traumatic than rape committed by an acquaintance or husband and is more likely to be reported to the authorities when compared to marital and acquaintance rape (Bell et al., 1994; Ewoldt et al., 2000; Frese et al., 2004; Monson et al., 1996).

There are several limitations to this study. Because the study was online, the specific geographic regions in the United States from which the participants were sampled are not known. Nevertheless, the online format for data collection allowed a wide range of individuals from various regions throughout the United States to be included. Another limitation of the present study is the limited number of male

participants. Only 6% of those who reported gender were men in the sample. It could be argued that gender differences were not detected as men were not properly represented in the sample.

Although the present study was successful in detecting differences in participants' perception of rape situations, future research should focus on identifying possible differences in the perception of rape situations based on gender. The present study did not investigate whether the perception of rape situations varied as a function of gender. It is possible that men view rape situations (e.g., marital, acquaintance, stranger) differently than women. Continued exploration of the relationship between rape situations as a function of gender is necessary to determine if possible differences exist.

To summarize, victims of stranger rape were perceived as being less responsible for the rape and more likely to be psychologically traumatized by the rape than victims of marital or acquaintance rape. The assailant was perceived as being more responsible for the rape in stranger rape situations than in marital and acquaintance rape situations. Moreover, participants were more likely to recommend that victims of stranger rape file a police report than victims of marital rape. Last, victims of stranger rape were perceived as more creditable when compared to acquaintance or marital rape. While it was obvious that none of the victims willingly engaged in sexual intercourse with the assailants, participants significantly viewed differences among the situations.

There are several legal implications regarding the societal views of rape situations uncovered in the present study. Societal tolerance of rape in marital and acquaintance situations reduces the likelihood of reporting the crime (Frese et al., 2004). In addition, marital rape is not recognized as a crime in several states. Some suggest that this tolerance of marital and acquaintance rape could increase the likelihood that police officers and judges will meet rape allegations with suspicion and be disinclined to investigate such assaults further (Frese et al., 2004). Likewise, members of the jury may be less likely to recognize rape as a crime when committed in a marital or acquaintance situation leading to fewer convictions in acquaintance and marital rape cases. The rape of a woman, despite victim-assailant relationship prior to the assault, is a serious crime and should be recognized and punished under the law as such. The present study provided evidence for the need of the enactment and enforcement of laws covering all rape situations regardless of the nature of the relationship between the victim and the assailant.

References

- Allison, J. A., & Wrightsman, L. S. (1993). *Rape: The misunderstood crime*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Bell, S. T., Kuriloff, P. J., & Lottes, I. (1994). Understanding the attributions of blame in stranger rape and date rape situations: An examination of gender, race, identification, and students' social perceptions of rape victims. *Journal* of Applied Social Psychology, 14, 1719-1734.
- Ben-David, S., & Schneider, O. (2005). Rape perceptions, gender role attitudes, and victim-perpetrator acquaintance. *Sex Roles*, *53*, 385-399.
- Burt, M. R. (1980). Cultural myths and supports for rape. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 38*, 217-230.
- Caron, S.L., & Carter, D. B. (2001). The relationships among sex role orientation, egalitarianism, attitudes toward sexuality, and attitudes toward violence against women. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 97, 568-587.
- Check, J. V. P., & Malamuth, N. M. (1983). Sex role stereotyping and reactions to depictions of stranger versus acquaintance rape. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 45, 344-356.
- Deitz, S.R., Blackwell, K., Daley, P.C., & Bentley, B.J.(1982). Measurement of empathy toward rape victims and rapists. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 43, 372-384.
- Deitz, S. R., & Byrnes, L. E. (1981). Attribution of responsibility for sexual assault: The influence of observer empathy and defendant occupation and attractiveness. *Journal of Psychology*, *108*, 17-29.

Estrich, S. (1987). Real Rape. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

- Ewoldt, C. A., Monson, C. M., & Langhinrichsen-Rohling, J. (2000). Attributions about rape in a continuum of dissolving marital relationships. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 15, 1175-1182.
- Finkelhor, D., & Yllo, K. (1985). *License to rape: Sexual abuse of wives*. New York:Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Finkelhor, D., Gelles, R. J., Hotaling, G.T., & Straus, M. A. (1983). The Dark Side of Families: Current Family Research, Sage, Beverly Hills, CA.
- Fischer, G. J. (1986a). College student attitudes toward forcible date rape: Changes after taking a human sexuality course. *Journal of Sex Education and Therapy*, *12*, 42-46.
- Fischer, G. J. (1987). Hispanic and majority student attitudes toward forcible date rape as a function of differences in attitudes toward women. *Sex Roles, 17*, 93-101.
- Foley, L. A., Evancic, C., Karnik, K., King, J., & Parks, A. (1995). Date rape: Effects of race of assailant and victim and gender of participants on perceptions. *Journal of Black Psychology*, 21, 6-18.
- Frese, B., Moya, M., & Megia, J. L. (2004). Social perception of rape: How rape acceptance modulates the influence of situational factors. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 19*, 143-161.
- Gidycz, C. A., Layman, M. J., Rich, C. L., Crothers, M., Gylys, J., Martorin, A., et al. (2001). An evaluation of an acquaintance rape prevention program. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 16*, 1120-1138.

- Jimenez, J. A., & Abreu, J. M. (2003). Race and sex effects on attitudinal perceptions of acquaintance rape. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 50, 252-256.
- Johnson, J. D., & Russ, I. (1989). Effects of salience of consciousness-raising information on perception of acquaintance versus stranger rape. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 19, 1182-1197.
- Kennedy, M. A., & Gorzalka, B. B. (2002). Asian and non-asian attitudes toward rape, sexual harassment, and sexuality. *Sex Roles*, *46*, 227-238.
- Kilpatrick, D. G., Best, C. L., Veronen, L. J., Amick, A.E., Villeponteaux, L. A., & Ruff, G. A. (1985). Mental health correlates of criminal victimization: A random community survey. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 53, 866-873.
- Koss, M.P. (1988). Hidden rape: Sexual aggression and victimization in a national sample of students in higher education. In A. W. Burgess (Ed.), *Rape and sexual assault II* (pp. 3-25). New York: Garland.
- Koss, M.P. (1993). Rape. Scope, impact, interventions, and public policy response, *American Psychologist, 48*, 1062-1069.
- Koss, M.P. (1998). Hidden rape: Sexual aggression and victimization in a national sample of students in higher education. In M. E. Odem & J. Clay-Warner (Eds.), *Confronting rape and sexual assault* (pp. 51-69). Wilmington, DE: SR Books/Scholarly Resources.
- Koss, M. P., Gidycz, C. A., & Wisniewski, N. (1987). The scope of rape: Incidence and prevalence of sexual aggression and victimization in a national sample of higher educations students. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*,

55, 162-170.

- Krahe, B. (1988). Victim and observer characteristics as determinants of responsibility attributions to victims of rape. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 18, 50-58.
- Lonsway, K. A., & Fitgerald, L. F. (1994). Rape myths: In review. *Psychology of women Quarterly*, 18, 133-164.
- McCormick, J. S., Maric, A., Seto, M. C., & Barbaree, J. E. (1998). Relationship to victim predicts sentence length in sexual assault cases. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 13, 413-420.
- Monson, C. M., Byrd, G. R., & Langhinrichsen-Rohling, J. (1996). To have and to hold: Perceptions of marital rape. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 11, 410-424.
- Mori, L., Bernat, J.A., Glenn, P. A., Selle, G. L., & Zarate, M. G. (1995). Attitudes toward rape: Gender and ethic differences across Asian and Caucasian college students. Sex Roles, 32, 457-467.
- Pinzone-Glover, H. A., Gidycz, C. A., & Jacobs, C. D. (1998). An acquaintance rape prevention program: Effects of attitudes toward women, rape-related attitudes, and perceptions of rape scenarios. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 22, 605-621.
- Rapaport, K., & Burkhart, B. R. (1984). Personality and attitudinal characteristics of sexually coercive college males. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 93, 216-221.

Russell, D. (1990). Rape in marriage, Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press.

- Russell, D. E. H. (1984). Sexual exploration: Rape, child sexual abuse, and workplace harassment. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Sapp, M., Farrell, W. C., Johnson, J. H., & Hitchcock, K. (1999). Attitudes toward rape among African American male and female college students. *Journal of Counseling & Development*, 77, 204-208.
- Shapiro, B. L., & Schwarz, J. C. (1997). Date rape. Its to trauma symptoms and sexual self-esteem. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, *12*, 407-419.
- Shotland, R. L., & Goodstein, L. (1983). Just because she doesn't want to doesn't mean it's rape: An experimentally based causal model of the perception of rape in a dating situation. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 46, 220-232.
- Stromo, K. J., Lang, A. R., & Stritzke, W. G. K. (1997). Attributions about acquaintance rape: The role of alcohol and individual differences. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 27, 279-305.
- Varelas, N., & Foley, L. A. (1998). Blacks' and whites' perceptions of interracial and intraracial date rape. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 138, 392-400.
- Viki, G. T., & Abrams, D. (2002). But she was unfaithful: Benevolent sexism, and reactions to rape victims who violate traditional gender role expectations. *Sex Roles*, 47, 289-293.
- Ward, C. (1988). The attitudes toward rape victims scale: Construction, validation, and cross-cultural applicability. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 12, 127-146.
- Ward, D. Q. (1995). Attitudes towards rape: Feminist and social psychological perspectives. London: Sage.

- Westwell, C. A. (1998). Cognitive processing therapy in the treatment of marital rape. *Psychotherapy Private Practice*, 17:63-77.
- Whatley, M. A. The effect of participant sex, victim dress, and traditional attitudes on causal judgments for marital rape victims. *Journal of Family Violence*, 20, 191-200.
- White, A. (1995). Social-psychological factors related to the prevention of male sexual violence: An anti-sexist African American profile. St. Louis, MO: Missouri Institute of Mental Health.
- White, B. H., & Kurpius, S. E. (1999). Attitudes toward rape victims. Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 14, 989-995.
- Wyatt, G. E. (1992). The sociocultural context of African American and White American women's rape. *Journal of Social Issues*, 48, 77-91.

Appendix A

Vignette

Acquaintance Rape Situation.

2. ARS					
Instructions: Please read the following vignette. Next, using the 5-point or 2-point scale shown below, answer the following five questions regarding the vignette.					
member of the mo party. Initially, Liz final axom. Events slik blouse and blo great time talking, her home town for Liz left the party b toward Liz. At the pulled away, askin back to my place. Mark forced himse	been friends for a few years, at popular sorarity on campus refused, stating that her soro ally, Mark convinced Liu to att ck stilletto heets. Liz complete laughing, drinking, and danc the entire summer. Hark and opether and returned to Mark's outset, Liz was receptive to Mark youtset, Liz was receptive to Mark youtset, Liz was receptive to the Now stop being a tesse and g if on Liz and had say with her	. Mark is a member of rity sisters were busy is and the party. Lit deck d her heir and makeup ing. They both discuss I Lit continued drinking is apartment where the rick advances and act d his advances and act ive it to me!" Lit began	a fraternity. One even and she did not want did to wan her favor p and met Mark at th ed their plans for the did their plans for the did their plans for the did their plans for the g and both had cons y continued to drink way kissed him. Mar at d' You knew what h crying and attempt	ening, Mark invites Liz to po alone. She also its short black skirt wi e party. Once there, b a summer, as Liz would umed more alcohol the . Mark began making a k's level of aggression you ware doing when ed to resist Mark's adv	to a fraternity had to study for one th her low-cut pink oth were having a l be moving back to n usual. Mark and exual advances increased and Uz
* 1. How muc	h responsibiity doe:	es Liz have for		ed?	
	no responsibility	low responsibility	moderate responsibility	high responsibility	very high responsibility
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 2. How muc	h responsibility do:	es Mark have f	or what happ	ened?	
	no responsibility	low responsibility	moderate responsibility	high responsibility	very high responsibility
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 3. How muc	* 3. How much trauma and psychological impact did Liz experience?				
(select one)	Ö	õ	0	õ	0
* 4. Would yo	u recommend that	Liz report the	crime to auth	orities?	
○ Y++		0) No		
* 5. If Liz dec think she w	ided to report the e ould have?	encounter to th	e police, how	much credibil	ity do you
	none	low credibility	moderate credibility	high credibility	very high credibility
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
1					

Appendix B

Vignette

Marital Rape Situation.

3. MRS					
Instructions: Please read the following vignette. Next, using the 5-point or 2-point scale shown below, answer the following five questions regarding the vignette.					
Kevin and Alyasa have been married for seven years and recently got into a heated argument regarding finances. During the argument, both Kevin and Alyasa said things that they did not really mean, and later each was quite upset with the other. A few days after the argument, Kevin and Alyasa set out for dinner and alred their differences. Later that night, Kevin tried to initiate a sexual encounter, but Alyasa stopped him and said, "If you think dinner is going to make up for what you said, you're miateken." Kevin replied, "The things I said, what about all the things you said?" Alyasa ipnored Kevin and went on to explain that she was going to refuse sexual intercourse as a means of punishing him for the things he said in the argument. About a month had past when Kevin called Alyasa et work and asked her if she estual like to go out for dinner and dancing to celebrate the promotion he received that day at work. Alyasa was very excited about Kevin's promotion and decided to were a new sexy outilt that she knew would sexually arouse Kevin. She were an off-the-shoulder skin-tight red dress that showed a good deal of cleavage, high heel shoes, black slik stockings, and a new hair style and make-up that made her look struning. When Kevin and Alyasa what work, Alyasa rean up to him and gave him a passionate kiss and congratulated him on the promotion. They then proceeded to go out to dinner and went to a local club. While at the club, Kevin and Alyasa had a few dinks and spent three hours dancing. Just before leaving the club, Alyasa rushed over and began screaming. Startled, Kevin pushed the woman away and began apologizing. The two engaged in a heeted argument that lated all the way home. One home, Alyasa showed and even'n sdynamose and actively kissed him. Alyasa stopped him and aki, "You're not going any further I still haven't forgiven you for kissing thet woman." At this point, Kevin become very angry. Rolling on top of her and pinning Alyasa're arms behind her heed, he said "Whattil it has been over a month					
* 1. How much re			e for what hap moderate		very high
(select one)	no responsibility	low responsibility	responsibility	high responsibility	responsibility
					0
* 2. How much re	sponsibility do	es Kevin have	for what hap moderate	pened?	very high
	no responsibility	low responsibility	responsibility	high responsibility	responsibility
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 3. How much tra	uma and psyc	hological impa	ct did Alyssa	experience?	
	none	low	moderate	high	very high
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 4. Would you re	commend that	Alyssa report	the crime to a	authorities?	
○ Yes		(⊃ No		
* 5. If Alyssa decided to report the encounter to the police, how much credibility do you think she would have?					
-	none	low credibility	moderate credibility	high credibility	very high credibility
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix C

Vignette

Stranger Rape Situation.

4. SRS					
Instructions: Please read the following vignette. Next, using the 5-point or 2-point scale shown below, answer the following five questions regarding the vignette.					
After getting an A+ on h dress that hugged each Several hours pasted an Ann's friends walked her kissed her friends good looked over her shoulde next day. Ann began shi to go investigate. Ann m grabbed by a man she h the bed where he forced	curve and her favorite di d Ann noticed that it was routside of the club. Ann nye and began stumbling r. Once home, she turme owering when she heard ottces her door was ajar ad never seen before. A	iamond encrusted pur s 4000 am. Since Ann is said "Goodbye girls, back to her apartme d on the stereo, heat a loud noise at the d and the lock appearso on screamed and plein	nps. The girls danced had to tutor 5th grad I'll call all of you tom nt. Ann heard what a cal leftover steak and oor. She became frig d to be busted. Franci	i the night away and it ers in a few hours, sh orrow to arrange our ppeared to be footste d potatoes, and began hoursed and immediat c, Ann ran back to he	ad several martinis. a decided to leave. study group." Ann ups and frequently a preparing for the ely grabbed her robe r bedroom, but was
* 1. How much re	. ,		r what happe		very high
1	no responsibility	low responsibility	responsibility	high responsibility	responsibility
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 2. How much re	esponsibility do	es the strange		at happened?	
	no responsibility	low responsibility	responsibility	high responsibility	very high responsibility
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 3. How much tr	auma and psych	nological impa	ct did Ann exp	perience?	very high
(select one)	0	Ö	0	õ	0
* 4. Would you re	commend that	Ann report the	e crime to aut	horities?	
⊖ Yee) No		
* 5. If Ann decide think she would	•	encounter to t	the police, ho	w much credib	oility do you
	none	low credibility	moderate credibility	high credibility	very high credibility
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix D

Rape Myth Acceptance Scale

5. RMAS					
Instructions: Using the 5-point scale sl	hown below, please a	nswer the follow	ving questions.		
* 1. A woman who that she is willin	-	ne or apartn	nent of a man (on their first	date implies
	strongly agree	agree	neutral	disagree	strongly disagree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 2. Any female ca					
(select one)	strongly agree	agree	neutral	disagree	strongly disagree
* 3. One reason th		ly report a r	ape is that the	y frequently	have a need
to call attention	to themselves.				
	strongly agree	agree	neutral	disagree	strongly disagree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 4. Any healthy w		-	-	-	
(select one)	strongly agree	agree	neutral	disagree	strongly disagree
* 5. When women just asking for t	_		_	_	
	strongly agree	agree	neutral	disagree	strongly disagree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 6. In the majorit		-	niscuous or ha	-	
(select one)	strongly agree	agree		disagree	strongly disagree
* 7. If a girl engag	les in necking or	netting and	she lets thing	s get out of b	and it is her
own fault if her	_	-	she lets tillig.	o get out of i	land, it is ner
	strongly agree	agree	neutral	disagree	strongly disagree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 8. Women who g	get raped while	hitchhiking g	get what they o	leserve.	
	strongly agree	agree	neutral	disagree	strongly disagree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 9. A woman who		l thinks she i	is too good to t	alk to guys (on the street
deserves to be t	2				
(select one)	strongly agree	agree	neutral	disagree	strongly disagree
	* 10. Many women have an unconscious wish to be raped, and may then unconsciously set up a situation in which they are likely to be attacked.				
sec up a situatio	strongly agree	agree	neutral	disagree	strongly disagree
(select one)	\bigcirc	0	0	0	
()	0	0	0	0	0

* 11. If a woman gets drunk at a party and has intercourse with a man she's just met there, she should be considered "fair game" to other males at the party who want to have sex with her too, whether she wants to or not.					
	strongly agree	agree	neutral	disagree	strongly disagree
(select one)	Ó	Ó	0	Ó	0
st 12. What percentage of women who report a rape would you say are lying because					
they are angry	and want to get	back at the i	man they accu	se?	
(select one)	about all	about 3/4	about 1/2	about 1/4	about none
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
* 13. What percer women who dis reputation?	covered they w	ere pregnant	and wanted t	o protect the	ir own
(select one)	about all	about 3/4	about 1/2	about 1/4	about none
* 14. A person co believe their sta					d you be to
Delieve tileli Sta	always	frequently	sometimes	rarely	never
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 15. A person co	mes to you and	claims they y	vere raped. He	w likely woul	d you be to
believe their sta	-	-	-	-	a you be to
	always	frequently	sometimes	rarely	never
(select one)	0	\odot	0	0	0
* 16. A person co believe their sta		-	-	-	d you be to
	always	frequently	sometimes	rarely	never
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 17. A person co believe their sta	ntement if the p	erson were a	young boy?	-	-
(select one)	always	frequently	sometimes	rarely	never
			· · ·		· · · ·
* 18. A person co believe their sta	-	-	-	-	d you be to
	always	frequently	sometimes	rarely	never
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 19. A person co					d you be to
believe their sta	•				
to all of an all	always	frequently	sometimes	rarely	never
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix E

The Rape Empathy Scale

6. RES					
Instructions: Using the 5-point scale	e shown below, please a	answer the follow	ing questions.		
* 1. I feel that t	he situation in whi	ich a man coi	npels a woma	n to submit t	o sexual
intercourse ag	ainst her will is ar	n unjustifiabl	e act under an	y circumstan	ces.
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronigy agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
	the matter of guilt ne past sexual activ rapist.				
(select one)	0	Ó	0	0	0
*					
* 3. In general,	I feel that rape is				
(select one)	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronigy agree
	0	0	0	0	0
	l it easier to imagi pist might feel.	ne how a rap	e victim might	t feel during	an actual rape
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronigy agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 5. Under certa	in circumstances,	I can unders	stand why a m	an would us	e force to
obtain sexual	relations with a w	oman.			
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronigy agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 6. In a court o during the rap	f law, I feel that the pe.	he rapist mu	st be held acco	ountable for	his behavior
(select one)	0	Ó	0	Ó	Ő
the consequer	man dresses in a s nces of her behavi ring sexual relation strongly disagree	or, whatever			
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
		e rape than w	vith the feeling	js a rapist mi	ght have
(aslast card)	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronigy agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
	pes a sexually acti		-		
actions by the	fact that she choo				
(aslast car)	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronigy agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0

Tot T penete and	nt all women sec	icuy want to	v be rapeu.		
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronigy agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 11. In deciding	whether a rape	has occurred	or not, the bu	irden of proc	of should rest
on the woman, v	who must prove	that the rap	e has actually	occurred.	
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronigy agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 12. I believe tha	t it is impossible	e for a rape vi	ictim to enjoy	being raped.	
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronigy agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 13. I can really e	empathize with t	the helplessn	ess a victim m	ight feel dur	ing a rape if all
of her attempts	to resist the rap	oe have failed	I.		
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronigy agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 14. After a rape	has occurred, I	think the wo	man would su	iffer more en	notional
torment in deali					
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronigy agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 15. I feel it is im	possible for a m	an to rape a	woman unless	she is willin	g.
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronigy agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 16. If a rape tria	l were publicize	d in the pres	s. I feel the ra	pe victim wo	ould suffer
more emotional					
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronigy agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 17. Even if a cou	iple has had sex	al intercour	rse before, if t	he man force	es the woman
to have sexual in					
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronigy agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 18. A husband h	as the every rig				with his wife
		ht to determi	ne when sexu	al relations v	with this write
occur, even if it				al relations v	with his wre
occur, even if it				al relations v	stronigy agree
occur, even if it	means forcing h	er to have se	ex with him.		
	means forcing h strongly disagree o nember of the ju 's testimony tha st, even if the m	er to have se disagree ory in a rape t in the woman an is innocen	ex with him. neutral crial, I would p r's, since rape t.	agree Orobably be r is a charge t	stronigy agree
(select one) * 19. If I were a n believe the man to defend agains	means forcing h strongly disagree onember of the ju 's testimony tha	er to have se disagree ry in a rape t in the woman	ex with him. neutral crial, I would p srial, since rape	agree O probably be r	stronigy agree
(select one) * 19. If I were a n believe the man	means forcing h strongly disagree o nember of the ju 's testimony tha st, even if the m	er to have se disagree ory in a rape t in the woman an is innocen	ex with him. neutral crial, I would p r's, since rape t.	agree Orobably be r is a charge t	stronigy agree
(select one) * 19. If I were a n believe the man to defend agains	means forcing h strongly disagree o nember of the ju 's testimony tha st, even if the m	er to have se disagree ory in a rape t in the woman an is innocen	ex with him. neutral crial, I would p r's, since rape t.	agree Orobably be r is a charge t	stronigy agree
(select one) * 19. If I were a n believe the man to defend agains	means forcing h strongly disagree o nember of the ju 's testimony tha st, even if the m	er to have se disagree ory in a rape t in the woman an is innocen	ex with him. neutral crial, I would p r's, since rape t.	agree Orobably be r is a charge t	stronigy agree

Appendix F

Attitudes Toward Rape Victims Scale

7. ATRVS					
Instructions: Using the 5-point scale s	hown below, please a	answer the follow	ving questions.		
* 1. A raped wom	an is a less desir	rable woman			
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 2. The extent of a rape has occu			ould be the maj	jor factor in (letermining if
6 . I I	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 3. A rape woma	n is usually an in	nocent victir	n.		
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 4. Women often	claim rape to p	rotect their r	eputations.		
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 5. "Good" girls	are as likely to b	e raped as "l	oad girls.		
-	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 6. Women who	have had prior s	exual relatio	nships should	not complair	about rape.
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 7. Women do no	ot provoke rape	by their app	earance or bel	navior.	
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	\odot	0	0	0
* 8. Intoxicated w	vomen are usual	ly willing to I	have sexual rel	lations.	
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 9. Many women	invent rape sto	ries if they le	earn they are p	pregnant.	
-	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 10. It would do	* 10. It would do some women good to be raped.				
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
	* 11. Even women who feel guilty about engaging in premarital sex are not likely to falsely claim rape.				
(select one)	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0

* 12. Most womer	secretly desire	to be raped.			
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 13. Any female	may be raped.				
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 14. Women who deserve.	are raped while	e accepting ri	des form stra	ngers get wi	nat they
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 15. Men, not wo	men, are respoi	nsible for rap	e.		
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 16. A woman wi	-		ts herself in a	position to b	e raped.
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 17. Many women changed their m	inds afterwards	i.		ual relations	
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 18. Accusations viewed with sus	picion.				
(select one)	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
* 19. A woman sh	ould not blame	berself for ra	ne	0	0
15. A woman sh	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)		O			
* 20. A healthy wo	oman can succes	sfully resist a	rapist if she	really tires.	Ũ
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 21. Many wome on the accused.	n who report ra	pe are lying l	because they	are angry or	want revenge
	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0
* 22. Women who	wear short skir	ts or tight blo disagree	uses are not	inviting rape	stronly agree
(select one)		Ó	0	0	
* 23. Women put t			-	-	exually
assaulted becau	-			-	
(estant and)	strongly disagree	disagree	neutral	agree	stronly agree
(select one)	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix G

Cover Letter

1. Barry University Cover Letter

1. Barry University Cover Letter

Dear Research Participant:

Your participation in a research project is requested. The title of the study is Perceptions of Sexual Relations. The research is being conducted by Shawna Wright, a student in the Psychology department at Barry University, and is seeking information that will be useful in the field of human sexuality. The aims of the research are to determine the public's perception regarding rape. We anticipate the number of participants to be 80.

If you decide to participate in this research, you will be asked to do the following: read three short scenarios that describe heterosexual sexual relations and, based on your opinion, answer several questions regarding the scenarios. You will also be asked to answer questionnaires about rape. The estimated time required to complete the study is 35 minutes.

Your consent to be a research participant is strictly voluntary and should you decline to participate or should you choose to drop out at any time during the study, there will be no adverse effects on your psychological wellbeing. You can withdraw your participation in the middle of the study at any time by clicking on the "close" icon at the top right hand corner of the screen. Please note that, due to the online nature of this study, you cannot withdraw your participation once the online surveys have been submitted. After submission, there is no way to trace the survey back to you. Although there may be no direct benefits to you, your participation in this study may help our understanding of the perceptions of rape counter situations.

As a research participant, information you provide will be kept anonymous, that is, no names or other identifiers will be collected on any of the instruments used. Data will be kept in a locked file in the Psychology department. By completing and returning this survey you have shown your agreement to participate in the study. If you have any questions or concerns regarding the study or your participation in the study, you may contact me, Shawna Wright, at (305) 899-3275, my supervisor, Dr. Wated at (305) 899-3274, or the Institutional Review Board point of contact, Ms. Nildy Polanco, at (305) 899-3020.

Thank you for your participation.

Sincerely,

Shawna Wright, BA Experimental Psychology Wrights2@bucmail.barry.edu

Appendix H

Demographic Information

8. Demographics			
1. Please fill in th name. Age Gender Ethnicity Educational level Relationship status Estimated annual income	e following demograg	ohic information. Yo	u should NOT write your
* 2. Sexual Orienta	ation (select one)		
Heterosexual		O Bisexuel	Other/ Non-Heterosexual